Imaging and physiology summit 2014 Detection of Angioscopically-detected Yellow Plaque by Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS)

Yasunori Ueda, MD, PhD, FACC, FESC, FJCC Director, Cardiovascular Division Osaka Police Hospital Clinical Professor, Cardiovascular Medicine Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine

N00





### Angioscopy yellow color grade vs. NIRS LCBI





# **Culprit lesions of ACS**



### Culprit lesion of unstable angina = Yellow plaque





### Culprit lesion of acute MI = Yellow plaque



#### Osaka Police Hospital 🔊

Ueda et al. J Invasive Cardiol. 2006

### Culprit lesions of ACS by angioscopy = Yellow plaque



### Plaque Rupture & Non-rupture (Erosion) = Yellow plaque



Osaka Police Hospital 🔊

Sanidas et al. Am J Cardiol. 2011

### Plaque Rupture & Non-rupture (Erosion) = Yellow plaque

Proxima

TCFA

Similar VH findings between

Non-Ruptured plaque

**TCFA**B

Osaka Police Hospital

**NO**19

ruptured and non-ruptured plaques

А

Sanidas et al. Am J Cardiol. 2011

### Culprit lesions of acute MI by NIRS



J Am Coll Cardiol Intv. 2013;6(8):838-846

<del>Osaka Folice no</del>spital 📢 🄊



### Culprit lesions of acute MI by NIRS



J Am Coll Cardiol Intv. 2013;6(8):838-846



# Regression of yellow plaque by statin





### Regression of yellow plaque by statin therapy After 80 weeks Before Atorvastatin (10mg/day)

### 1 $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ 2 (2)(1)4 $(\mathbf{3})$ 4 3 Osaka Police Hospital NO 19

Okada and Ueda et al. J Interven Cardiol, 2007





Hirayama A et al. Circ J, 2009





Kini et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:21–9

Usaкa Police Hospital

### **YELLOW** trial

	Standard	Intensive	
	(n = <b>34</b> )	(n = 36)	p Value
Baseline, median (IQR)			
LCBI <sub>4mm</sub> max	356.7 (145.2 to 509.2)	490.6 (363.8 to 689.7)	0.01
LCBI, lesion	95.4 (29.6 to 174.6)	132.4 (99.0 to 201.2)	0.04
Follow-up, median (IQR)			
LCBI4mm max	385.7 (139.2 to 510.9)	336.1 (252.3 to 479.9)	0.93
LCBI, lesion	99.9 (38.2 to 204.3)	99.8 (64.2 to 159.3)	0.88
Median change (95% CI)†			
LCBI <sub>4mm</sub> max	2.4 (-36.1 to 44.7)	-149.1 (-210.9 to -42.9)	0.01
LCBI, lesion	8.0 (-7.7 to 22.1)	-22.5 (-59.2 to -3.5)	0.02
Median percent change (95% CI)			
LCBI <sub>4mm</sub> max	-0.6 (-22.0 to 12.4)	-32.2 (-40.4 to -12.4)	0.02
LCBI, lesion	5.4 (-19.6 to 34.1)	-24.4 (-43.6 to -2.0)	0.03
Any LCBI regression, %			
LCBI <sub>4mm</sub> max	50.0	80.6	0.01
LCBI lesion	44.1	69.4	0.03

\*Table includes LCBI comparisons for study participants with values at both baseline and staged time points (n = 70). †p Values from analysis of covariance models on rank-transformed data controlling for baseline LCBI. All confidence intervals (CI) are distribution free.

IQR = interquartile range; LCBI<sub>4mm</sub> max = lipid-core burden index at the 4-mm maximal segment.

#### Kini et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:21–9

### YELLOW trial



Kini et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:21–9



# Prediction of slow/ no flow and periprocedural MI



### Ruptured yellow plaque = Risk of distal embolization/ slow flow phenomenon

### Ruptured plaque



### Plaque debris embolization



Mizote and Ueda et al. Circulation. 2005

#### Ruptured yellow plaque = Risk of distal embolization/ slow flow phenomenon (%) Acute MI patients



Mizote and Ueda et al. Circulation. 2005

25



Matsuo and Ueda et al. Eurointervention. 2013



NIRS:  $_{max}LCBI_{4 mm} \ge 500$ 

Periprocedural MI occurred in 7 of 14 patients (50%) with a  $_{max}LCBI_{4 mm} \ge 500$ , compared with 2 of 48 patients (4.2%) patients with a lower  $_{max}LCBI_{4 mm}$  (P=0.0002).



Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions. 2011; 4: 429-437



## A case of slow flow/ periprocedural MI A 41-year-old male patient with silent myocardial ischemia





#### Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014

Filter: Filter 4

### Examination by angioscopy



Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014





NIRS-IVUS Examination by NIRS-IVUS

Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014 Osaka Police Hospital



NO (7)

Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014

#### Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014









### **Post Stenting**



 Distal protection with Filtrap
 Predilatation
 Stent implantation with Xience Prime 3.5x23mm + 3.0x38mm

No-flow +

Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014

### Filter Removal



#### **Final**

peak CK 1500 U/L (CKMB 130 U/L)



#### Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014 Nicorandil ic



Distal protection device (*Filtrap*) was filled with embolized plaque debris.

Ueda et al. J Cardiol Cases. 2014



# Prediction of vulnerable plaques/ patients





### Vulnerable Plaque = Yellow plaque



### Incidence of plaque disruption



### Vulnerable patient = *Multiple yellow plaques*



Asakura and Ueda et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001





### Number of yellow plaques and future ACS event





### Number of yellow plaques and future ACS event



Ohtani and Ueda et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006

NO 19 Osaka Police Hospital





# The Lipid Rich Plaque (LRP) study to identify vulnerable patients and vulnerable plaques

Dr. Ron Waksman, Pl Pl, Europe, Dr. Carlo di Mario Pl, Japan, Dr. Takeshi Akasaka <u>Co-Pl, Japan, Dr. Yasunori Ueda</u>



In an innovative design, only 50% of the patients without a large lipid-rich plaque will be followed

# Angioscopy plays a role of macroscopic pathology in living patients





 $_{max}LCBI_{4 mm} = 1000$ 

Yellow plaquePlaque rupture

Prot
Thrc
Ster
Neo
NiRS can detect angioscopically-detected
yellow plaques very well and can evaluate
them more quantitatively.